

- LOES OLDE LOOHUIS, *Games for multi-player Logic, and Logic for multi-player Games*.

Department of Computer Science, The Graduate Center, The City University of New York, 365 Fifth Avenue, USA.

*E-mail:* l.olde1oohuis@gmail.com.

Following previous work by Abramsky(2007), Tulenheimo and Venema(2007) (for full references, see [1]) and ourselves [1], we develop a multi-player logic  $MPL_R$  for *rational* players. The syntax of  $MPL_R$  is as follows:

$$\phi ::= p \mid (\phi \vee_i \psi) \mid \neg_{ij} \phi,$$

where  $i, j \in A$ , the set of players. Formulas of  $MPL_R$  describe games, where  $\vee_i$  is a choice operator for player  $i$  and negations, of the form  $\neg_{ij}$ , permute the roles of players  $i$  and  $j$ . A valuation assigns to each proposition letter a set of winners.

$MPL_R$  can be seen as a generalization of two-player game semantics of propositional logic. We show that the complexity of  $MPL_R$  is linear in the general case, but if we impose some (reasonable) restrictions to the valuation function, it becomes

$NP$ -complete. Also, a completeness result for a functionally complete extension,  $MPL_R^+$ , of  $MPL_R$  will be shown. The logic  $MPL_R^+$  contains two families of negations that are the same in the classical two-player setting.

The fact that we assume rationality of the players allows us to study the logics from a game theoretical perspective. Each extensive form game can be described by a formula of  $MPL_R$  and we compare our semantics to various solution concepts from game theory. In particular, we will show that if a backward induction solution to the game exists, this will be the semantic value of its formula. We illustrate this point by analyzing some well-known games like the Centipede game within our framework.

[1] LOES OLDE LOOHUIS, *Multi-Player Logics **ILLC** publication series*, (2008), no. MoL-2008-07. url: <http://www.illc.uva.nl/Publications/ResearchReports/MoL-2008-07.text.pdf>