

Computable partitions of trees

Joint work with Jeff Hirst and Timothy McNicholl

Jennifer Chubb

`home.gwu.edu/~jchubb`

George Washington University, Washington, D.C.

ASL 2009 Logic Colloquium

Sofia University

July 31, 2009

Ramsey's theorem for trees

Let $T = 2^{<\omega}$. We write $[T]^n$ for the collection of linearly ordered n -tuples of nodes (n -chains) from T .

A subset $S \subseteq T$ is a *subtree isomorphic to T* if it has a least node, and every node in S has exactly two immediate successors in S .

Theorem (TT_k^n)

Suppose $[T]^n$ is colored with k colors. Then there is a subtree S isomorphic to T such that $[S]^n$ is monochromatic.

Reverse math

- $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$ proves $\forall k \text{ } \text{TT}_k^1$.

Reverse math

- $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$ proves $\forall k \text{ } \mathcal{TT}_k^1$.
 - ▶ \mathcal{TT}_k^1 implies the infinite pigeonhole principle, which is strictly weaker than $\Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$.

Reverse math

- $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$ proves $\forall k \text{ } \mathcal{TT}_k^1$.
 - ▶ \mathcal{TT}_k^1 implies the infinite pigeonhole principle, which is strictly weaker than $\Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$.
 - ▶ [Corduan, Groszek, & Mileti, 2009] \mathcal{TT}_k^1 is properly stronger than the infinite pigeonhole principle.

Reverse math

- $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$ proves $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^1$.
 - ▶ TT_k^1 implies the infinite pigeonhole principle, which is strictly weaker than $\Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$.
 - ▶ [Corduan, Groszek, & Mileti, 2009] TT_k^1 is properly stronger than the infinite pigeonhole principle.

- ACA_0 is equivalent to $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^n$ for $n \geq 3$.

Reverse math

- $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$ proves $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^1$.
 - ▶ TT_k^1 implies the infinite pigeonhole principle, which is strictly weaker than $\Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$.
 - ▶ [Corduan, Groszek, & Mileti, 2009] TT_k^1 is properly stronger than the infinite pigeonhole principle.
- ACA_0 is equivalent to $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^n$ for $n \geq 3$.
 - ▶ ACA_0 is equivalent to TT_k^n for $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$.

Reverse math

- $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$ proves $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^1$.
 - ▶ TT_k^1 implies the infinite pigeonhole principle, which is strictly weaker than $\Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$.
 - ▶ [Corduan, Groszek, & Mileti, 2009] TT_k^1 is properly stronger than the infinite pigeonhole principle.
- ACA_0 is equivalent to $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^n$ for $n \geq 3$.
 - ▶ ACA_0 is equivalent to TT_k^n for $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$.
- $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^2$ implies Ramsey's theorem for pairs.

Reverse math

- $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$ proves $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^1$.
 - ▶ TT_k^1 implies the infinite pigeonhole principle, which is strictly weaker than $\Sigma_2^0\text{-IND}$.
 - ▶ [Corduan, Groszek, & Mileti, 2009] TT_k^1 is properly stronger than the infinite pigeonhole principle.
- ACA_0 is equivalent to $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^n$ for $n \geq 3$.
 - ▶ ACA_0 is equivalent to TT_k^n for $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$.
- $\forall k \text{ } TT_k^2$ implies Ramsey's theorem for pairs.
 - ▶ [Corduan, Groszek, & Mileti, 2009] There is a class of trees so that the Ramsey Theorem for pairs for that class of trees is equivalent to ACA_0 .

Complexity of the homogeneous substructure

Theorem (C., Hirst, McNicholl)

If $[T]^n$ is computably colored with k colors, then there is a Π_n^0 monochromatic subtree isomorphic to T .

Complexity of the homogeneous substructure

Theorem (C., Hirst, McNicholl)

If $[T]^n$ is computably colored with k colors, then there is a Π_n^0 monochromatic subtree isomorphic to T .

Theorem (C., Hirst, McNicholl)

For $n \geq 2$, there is a computable coloring of $[T]^n$ with no Σ_n^0 monochromatic subtree.

Complexity of the homogeneous substructure

If a 2-coloring of $[T]^2$ is computable, there is always a Π_2^0 monochromatic subtree of T that is isomorphic to T .

Idea of proof: Let f be a computable 2-coloring of 2-chains of T .

For each $\sigma \in T$, define $f_\sigma(\tau) = f(\sigma, \tau)$ for $\tau \supset \sigma$.

Use markers $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in T}$, associate to each marker a color (red or blue), c_α , and a subtree T_α that is monochromatic of color c_α for f_{p_α} .

- For $\alpha \subset \beta$, $T_\alpha \supset T_\beta$, and
- For $\alpha \subset \beta$, $f(p_\alpha, p_\beta) = c_\alpha$.

Complexity of the homogeneous substructure

If a 2-coloring of $[T]^2$ is computable, there is always a Π_2^0 monochromatic subtree of T that is isomorphic to T .

Idea of proof: Let f be a computable 2-coloring of 2-chains of T .

For each $\sigma \in T$, define $f_\sigma(\tau) = f(\sigma, \tau)$ for $\tau \supset \sigma$.

Use markers $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in T}$, associate to each marker a color (red or blue), c_α , and a subtree T_α that is monochromatic of color c_α for f_{p_α} .

- For $\alpha \subset \beta$, $T_\alpha \supset T_\beta$, and
- For $\alpha \subset \beta$, $f(p_\alpha, p_\beta) = c_\alpha$.

Now, the tree $S = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in T}$ colored by $p_\alpha \rightarrow c_\alpha$ has a monochromatic subtree, \widehat{S} . This subtree is monochromatic for f .

Complexity of the homogeneous substructure

If a 2-coloring of $[T]^2$ is computable, there is always a Π_2^0 monochromatic subtree of T that is isomorphic to T .

Idea of proof: Let f be a computable 2-coloring of 2-chains of T .

For each $\sigma \in T$, define $f_\sigma(\tau) = f(\sigma, \tau)$ for $\tau \supset \sigma$.

Use markers $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in T}$, associate to each marker a color (red or blue), c_α , and a subtree T_α that is monochromatic of color c_α for f_{p_α} .

- For $\alpha \subset \beta$, $T_\alpha \supset T_\beta$, and
- For $\alpha \subset \beta$, $f(p_\alpha, p_\beta) = c_\alpha$.

Now, the tree $S = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in T}$ colored by $p_\alpha \rightarrow c_\alpha$ has a monochromatic subtree, \widehat{S} . This subtree is monochromatic for f .

Make this effective!

Complexity of the homogeneous substructure

The $n = 2$ case is a base case for finding the complexity bounds of $(n + 1)$ -chains.

We reduce the question for colorings of $(n + 1)$ -chains to that of n -chains by producing a subtree where the color of an $(n + 1)$ -chain depends only in its first n elements. (This requires some effort.)

Extracting a monochromatic tree from this subtree requires a jump in complexity, and so we arrive at the Π_{n+1}^0 complexity bound for colorings of $(n + 1)$ -chains.

References

- Chubb, J., Hirst, J., and McNicholl, T., Reverse mathematics, computability, and partitions of trees, *Journal of Symbolic Logic*.
- Corduan, J., Groszek, M., and Mileti, J., Reverse mathematics and Ramsey's property for trees. (In preparation.)
- Jockusch, C., Ramsey's theorem and recursion theory, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 37 (1972) 268–280