

# Prompt enumerations and relative randomness

Anthony Morphet

Logic Colloquium 2009, Sofia

1 August 2009

# Prompt enumerations

The **promptly simple** c.e. Turing degrees:

- decomposition of c.e. T-degrees into definable filter and definable ideal
- characterisation of structural properties:

Theorem (Ambos-Spies, Jockusch, Shore, Soare 1984)

For a c.e. degree  $\mathbf{a}$ , TFAE:

- ▶  $\mathbf{a}$  is **PS**;
- ▶  $\mathbf{a}$  is non-cappable:  $\nexists \mathbf{b} > \mathbf{0}$  s.t.  $\mathbf{a} \cap \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ ;
- ▶  $\mathbf{a}$  is low cappable:  $\exists \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{0}'$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}'$ .

# Permitting

Given c.e. set  $A$ , build  $B$  so that

$B \upharpoonright n$  changes at stage  $s$  only if  $A \upharpoonright n$  changes at  $s$ .

Guarantees that  $B \leq_T A$ .

## (Yates) permitting

Let  $A$  be a noncomputable c.e. set.

If  $W$  is infinite c.e. set, then

$$\exists^\infty x : x \in W[\text{at } s] \text{ and } A[s] \upharpoonright x \neq A \upharpoonright x.$$

$A \upharpoonright x$  changes sometime after  $x$  is enumerated into  $W$ .

## Prompt permitting

$A$  is *promptly permitting* if there is computable function  $p$  such that if  $W$  is infinite c.e. set, then

$$\exists^\infty x : x \in W[\text{at } s] \text{ and } A[s] \upharpoonright x \neq A[p(s)] \upharpoonright x.$$

$A \upharpoonright x$  changes *within computable time interval*  $[s, p(s)]$ .

Degree  $a$  is **PS** iff all c.e. sets in  $a$  are promptly permitting.

## Promptly permitting sets

Such sets exist; standard constructions automatically yield promptly permitting sets.

Not all c.e. sets are promptly permitting: minimal pairs are not **PS** by AJSS theorem.

## Randomness

For  $U \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ ,  $\text{weight } U = \sum_{\sigma \in U} 2^{-|\sigma|}$ .

## Randomness

For  $U \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ ,  $\text{weight } U = \sum_{\sigma \in U} 2^{-|\sigma|}$ .

**Solovay test:** A c.e. set of sets of strings  $S$  such that  $\text{weight } S < \infty$  (bounded).



## Randomness

For  $U \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ , weight  $U = \sum_{\sigma \in U} 2^{-|\sigma|}$ .

**Solovay test:** A c.e. set of sets of strings  $S$  such that weight  $S < \infty$  (bounded).

$X$  is **random** if for all Solovay tests  $S$

$$\nexists^\infty \sigma \in S \text{ with } \sigma \subset X.$$

Only finitely many approximations to  $X$  in  $S$ .

## Randomness

For  $U \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ , weight  $U = \sum_{\sigma \in U} 2^{-|\sigma|}$ .

**Solovay test:** A c.e. set of sets of strings  $S$  such that weight  $S < \infty$  (bounded).

$X$  is **random** if for all Solovay tests  $S$

$$\nexists^\infty \sigma \in S \text{ with } \sigma \subset X.$$

Only finitely many approximations to  $X$  in  $S$ .

**Universal Solovay test:** There is a single test  $U$  s.t.  $X$  is random iff

$$\nexists^\infty \sigma \in U \text{ with } \sigma \subset X.$$

## Relative randomness

Relativise notions of Solovay test, randomness to arbitrary oracle  $A$ .

Study information content of oracle  $A$  by examining the class of  $A$ -randoms.

## Relative randomness

Relativise notions of Solovay test, randomness to arbitrary oracle  $A$ .

Study information content of oracle  $A$  by examining the class of  $A$ -randoms.

**Low-for-random:**  $A$ -randomness = unrelativised randomness.

$A$  is no help at all for detecting patterns.

Important characterisation:

## Theorem (Kjos-Hanssen)

*TFAE:*

- ▶  $A$  is low for random
- ▶ every bounded  $A$ -c.e. set is contained in an unrelativised bounded c.e. set
- ▶  $U^A$  is contained in a bounded c.e. set: there is a c.e. set  $V$  s.t.

$$U^A \subseteq V \text{ and } \text{weight } V < \infty.$$

## Non-low-for-random permitting

If  $A$  is not low-for-random, then

$$U^A \subseteq V \Rightarrow \text{weight } V = \infty.$$

## Non-low-for-random permitting

If  $A$  is not low-for-random, then

$$U^A \subseteq V \Rightarrow \text{weight } V = \infty.$$

We can trace strings from  $U^A$  into c.e. set  $V$ .

$A$  must change sufficiently often to remove strings from  $U^A$  to ensure  $\text{weight } V = \infty$ .

## Non-low-for-random permitting

If  $A$  is not low-for-random, then

$$U^A \subseteq V \Rightarrow \text{weight } V = \infty.$$

We can trace strings from  $U^A$  into c.e. set  $V$ .

$A$  must change sufficiently often to remove strings from  $U^A$  to ensure  $\text{weight } V = \infty$ .

Suppose  $\sigma \in U^A[s]$  with use  $u$ .



## Non-low-for-random permitting

If  $A$  is not low-for-random, then

$$U^A \subseteq V \Rightarrow \text{weight } V = \infty.$$

We can trace strings from  $U^A$  into c.e. set  $V$ .

$A$  must change sufficiently often to remove strings from  $U^A$  to ensure  $\text{weight } V = \infty$ .

Suppose  $\sigma \in U^A[s]$  with use  $u$ .

When we want  $A \upharpoonright u$  to change, put  $\sigma$  into  $V$ .

## Non-low-for-random permitting

If  $A$  is not low-for-random, then

$$U^A \subseteq V \Rightarrow \text{weight } V = \infty.$$

We can trace strings from  $U^A$  into c.e. set  $V$ .

$A$  must change sufficiently often to remove strings from  $U^A$  to ensure weight  $V = \infty$ .

Suppose  $\sigma \in U^A[s]$  with use  $u$ .

When we want  $A \upharpoonright u$  to change, put  $\sigma$  into  $V$ .

If  $A \upharpoonright u$  changes,  $\sigma \in V$  but  $\sigma \notin U^A$ . Successful permission!

$$\sigma \in V[\text{at } s], \quad \sigma \in U^A[s] \text{ with use } u, \quad A[s] \upharpoonright u \neq A \upharpoonright u.$$

## Non-low-for-random permitting

If  $A$  is not low-for-random, then

$$U^A \subseteq V \Rightarrow \text{weight } V = \infty.$$

We can trace strings from  $U^A$  into c.e. set  $V$ .

$A$  must change sufficiently often to remove strings from  $U^A$  to ensure weight  $V = \infty$ .

Suppose  $\sigma \in U^A[s]$  with use  $u$ .

When we want  $A \upharpoonright u$  to change, put  $\sigma$  into  $V$ .

If  $A \upharpoonright u$  changes,  $\sigma \in V$  but  $\sigma \notin U^A$ . Successful permission!

$$\sigma \in V[\text{at } s], \quad \sigma \in U^A[s] \text{ with use } u, \quad A[s] \upharpoonright u \neq A \upharpoonright u.$$

If  $A \upharpoonright u$  does not change,  $\sigma \in U^A$  permanently. Unsuccessful permission, but bounded by weight  $U^A < \infty$ .

## Prompt non-low-for-random permitting

Let's define a notion of prompt non-lfr permitting, in analogy with prompt Yates permitting.

'exists infinitely many' becomes 'exists infinite weight'.

## Prompt non-low-for-random permitting

Let's define a notion of prompt non-lfr permitting, in analogy with prompt Yates permitting.

'exists infinitely many' becomes 'exists infinite weight'.

### Definition

$A$  is promptly non-low-for-random if there is  $U^A$  and computable  $p$  s.t. if  $U^A \subseteq V$  then the set of  $\sigma$  such that

$$\sigma \in V[\text{at } s], \quad \sigma \in U^A[s] \text{ with use } u, \quad A[s] \upharpoonright u \neq A[p(s)] \upharpoonright u$$

has infinite weight.

## Some results

Prompt non-low-for-randoms exist: standard construction.

## Some results

Prompt non-low-for-randoms exist: standard construction.

Prompt non-lfr implies promptly simple.

## Some results

Prompt non-low-for-randoms exist: standard construction.

Prompt non-lfr implies promptly simple.

Non-prompt non-low-for-randoms exist:

- ▶ low-for-randoms
- ▶ non-promptly simples
- ▶ non-lfr, promptly simple but not promptly non-low-for-randoms.



## Some results

Prompt non-low-for-randoms exist: standard construction.

Prompt non-lfr implies promptly simple.

Non-prompt non-low-for-randoms exist:

- ▶ low-for-randoms
- ▶ non-promptly simples
- ▶ non-lfr, promptly simple but not promptly non-low-for-randoms.

Closed upwards under  $\leq_T$  but...

## Some results

Prompt non-low-for-randoms exist: standard construction.

Prompt non-lfr implies promptly simple.

Non-prompt non-low-for-randoms exist:

- ▶ low-for-randoms
- ▶ non-promptly simples
- ▶ non-lfr, promptly simple but not promptly non-low-for-randoms.

Closed upwards under  $\leq_{\mathcal{T}}$  but...unknown if they form a filter

→ simultaneously permit below two sets?

## Structural properties?

Would be nice to find correspondences with structural properties.

## Structural properties?

Would be nice to find correspondences with structural properties.

**Low-for-random cuppable:**  $A$  can be cupped to  $\mathbf{0}'$  by a low-for-random.

Not all pnlfr's are low-for-random cuppable.

Diamondstone: exists a promptly simple that is not superlow cuppable.

Can be extended to pnlfr that is not superlow cuppable.

But all low-for-randoms are superlow.

## Structural properties?

Would be nice to find correspondences with structural properties.

**Low-for-random cuppable:**  $A$  can be cupped to  $\mathbf{0}'$  by a low-for-random.

Not all pnfr's are low-for-random cuppable.

Diamondstone: exists a promptly simple that is not superlow cuppable.

Can be extended to pnfr that is not superlow cuppable.

But all low-for-randoms are superlow.

**Cappable to low-for-randoms:** exists non-lfr  $B$  such that if  $X \leq_T A, B$  then  $X$  is low-for-random.

Obstacles with gap-cogap method in this context.

Work in progress.