

# Strongly $\eta$ -representable sets and limitwise monotonic functions.

Maxim Zubkov

Kazan State University

Sofia, August 5, 2009.

Let  $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  be an enumeration (perhaps with repetitions) of a set  $A \subseteq \omega$ . Then

- ▶ a linear order  $\mathcal{L}$  of the following order type

$$\eta + a_0 + \eta + a_1 + \eta + a_2 + \eta + \dots$$

is called *an  $\eta$ -representation of  $A$* ;

- ▶ if  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \dots$  then  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *an increasing  $\eta$ -representation of  $A$* ;
- ▶ if  $a_0 < a_1 < a_2 \dots$  then  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *a strong  $\eta$ -representation of  $A$* ;
- ▶ a set  $A$  is  $\eta$ -representable (increasing  $\eta$ -representable, strongly  $\eta$ -representable) if it has a computable  $\eta$ -representation (increasing  $\eta$ -representation, strong  $\eta$ -representation).

- ▶ **[Feiner]** Every  $\eta$ -representable set is  $\Sigma_3^0$ .
- ▶ **[Lerman]** The class of  $\eta$ -representable Turing degrees is the class of  $\Sigma_3^0$  degrees.
- ▶ **[Rosenstein]** Every  $\Sigma_2^0$  set has a computable strong  $\eta$ -representation.
- ▶ **[Fellner]** Every  $\Pi_2^0$  set has a computable strong  $\eta$ -representation.
- ▶ **[Rosenstein]** If  $A$  has a computable strongly  $\eta$ -representation then  $A$  is  $\Delta_3^0$ .
- ▶ **[Lerman]** There is a  $\Delta_3^0$  set which has no computable  $\eta$ -representation.

- ▶ **[Downey]** Which degree contains strongly  $\eta$ -representable sets? In particular, is each  $\Delta_3^0$  degrees strongly  $\eta$ -representable?
- ▶ **[Harris]** There is a  $\Delta_3^0$  degree without strongly  $\eta$ -representable sets.

- ▶ **[Downey]** Which degree contains strongly  $\eta$ -representable sets? In particular, is each  $\Delta_3^0$  degrees strongly  $\eta$ -representable?
- ▶ **[Harris]** There is a  $\Delta_3^0$  degree without strongly  $\eta$ -representable sets.

► Theorem (with A. N. Frolov)

*If  $A \in \Delta_3^0$  then  $A \oplus \omega$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable.*



► Theorem (with A. N. Frolov)

*If  $A \in \Delta_3^0$  then  $A \oplus \omega$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable.*

► Theorem

*A set  $A$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable iff  $A$  is  $\eta$ -representable and  $A \in \Delta_3^0$ .*

► Theorem (with A. N. Frolov)

*If  $A \in \Delta_3^0$  then  $A \oplus \omega$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable.*

► Theorem

*A set  $A$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable iff  $A$  is  $\eta$ -representable and  $A \in \Delta_3^0$ .*



► Theorem (with A. N. Frolov)

*If  $A \in \Delta_3^0$  then  $A \oplus \omega$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable.*

► Theorem

*A set  $A$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable iff  $A$  is  $\eta$ -representable and  $A \in \Delta_3^0$ .*

► Definition

A function  $F$  is called  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic if there is a  $\mathbf{0}'$ -computable function  $f$  such that:

- 1)  $(\forall x)[\lim_s f(x, s) = F(x)]$
- 2)  $(\forall x)(\forall s)[f(x, s) \leq f(x, s + 1)]$ .



► **Definition**

A function  $F$  is called  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic if there is a  $\mathbf{0}'$ -computable function  $f$  such that:

- 1)  $(\forall x)[\lim_s f(x, s) = F(x)]$
- 2)  $(\forall x)(\forall s)[f(x, s) \leq f(x, s + 1)]$ .

► **Theorem (Harris, Kach, Turetsky)**

*Let  $F$  be a function with computable domain, then TFAE:*

- 1) *The function  $F$  is  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic.*
- 2) *There is a computable function  $f$  such that*  

$$F(x) = \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(x, s)$$
 *for every  $x \in \text{dom}(F)$ .*

► Definition

A function  $F$  is called  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic if there is a  $\mathbf{0}'$ -computable function  $f$  such that:

- 1)  $(\forall x)[\lim_s f(x, s) = F(x)]$
- 2)  $(\forall x)(\forall s)[f(x, s) \leq f(x, s + 1)]$ .

► Theorem (Harris; Kach, Turetsky)

*Let  $F$  be a function with computable domain, then TFAE:*

- 1) *The function  $F$  is  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic.*
- 2) *There is a computable function  $f$  such that  $F(x) = \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(x, s)$  for every  $x \in \text{dom}(F)$ .*

► Definition

A function  $F$  is called  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic if there is a  $\mathbf{0}'$ -computable function  $f$  such that:

- 1)  $(\forall x)[\lim_s f(x, s) = F(x)]$
- 2)  $(\forall x)(\forall s)[f(x, s) \leq f(x, s + 1)]$ .

► Theorem (Harris; Kach, Turetsky)

*Let  $F$  be a function with computable domain, then TFAE:*

- 1) *The function  $F$  is  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic.*
- 2) *There is a computable function  $f$  such that  $F(x) = \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(x, s)$  for every  $x \in \text{dom}(F)$ .*

### Theorem (Harris)

*A set  $A$  is  $\eta$ -representable iff  $A = \text{rang}(F)$  for some  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic function  $F$ .*

### Theorem (Harris)

*There is a strongly  $\eta$ -representable set such that for every  $\mathbf{0}'$ -increasing limitwise monotonic (on  $\omega$ ) function  $A$  we have  $A \neq \text{rang}(F)$ .*

## Definition

A set  $\text{supp}(F) = \{x \in A \mid F(x) > 1\}$  is called *the support of a function*  $F : A \longrightarrow \omega$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \langle L; <_{\mathcal{L}} \rangle$  be a linear order and  $F : L \longrightarrow \omega$  be a function such that for every  $n > 1$  a set  $F^{-1}(n) = \{y \mid F(y) = n\}$  is finite. The function  $F$  is called:

- ▶ *pseudo increasing* on  $\mathcal{L}$ , if
 
$$(\forall x, y \in \text{supp}(F))[x <_{\mathcal{L}} y \Rightarrow F(x) < F(y)];$$
- ▶ *pseudo nondecreasing* on  $\mathcal{L}$ , if
 
$$(\forall x, y \in \text{supp}(F))[x <_{\mathcal{L}} y \Rightarrow F(x) \leq F(y)].$$

A set  $A$  is increasing  $\eta$ -representable iff there is a  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic pseudo nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{Q}$  function  $F$  such that  $A = \text{rang}(F)$ .

### Theorem

*Turing degree is strongly  $\eta$ -representable iff it contains a range of some  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic pseudo increasing on  $\mathbb{Q}$  function.*



## Theorem

If  $A \in \Sigma_2^0$  and  $B \in \Pi_2^0$  then  $A \cup B$  has a computable  $\eta$ -representation.

## Theorem

Let  $h : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $n : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be  $\mathbf{0}'$ -computable functions such that for every  $x$  we have

$$|\{s \in \omega \mid h(x, s) \neq h(x, s+1)\}| \leq n(x).$$

Then there is a  $\mathbf{0}'$ -limitwise monotonic pseudo increasing on  $\mathbb{Q}$  function  $F$  such that  $\text{rang}(F) \equiv_{\mathcal{T}} \text{graph}(H) \oplus \text{graph}(n)$ , where  $H(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h(x, s)$ .

## Corollary

If  $A \leq_{\text{tt}} \mathbf{0}''$  then there is a strongly  $\eta$ -representable set  $B \equiv_{\mathcal{T}} A$ .