

Logic Colloquium '09: Sofia

## Four Notions of Degree Spectra

Valentina Harizanov

Department of Mathematics

George Washington University

harizanv@gwu.edu

<http://home.gwu.edu/~harizanv/>

## Computable Models

Consider *countable* structures  $\mathcal{A}$  for *computable* languages  $L$ .

- *Atomic diagram* of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $D_0(\mathcal{A})$ , is the set of all quantifier-free sentences of  $L_{\mathcal{A}}$  true in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- *Turing degree* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Turing degree of  $D_0(\mathcal{A})$ .  
 $\mathcal{A}$  is *computable* (*recursive*) if its Turing degree is  $\mathbf{0}$ .
- $D_0(\mathcal{A})$  may be of much lower Turing degree than  $Th(\mathcal{A})$ .  
 $\mathcal{N}$ , the standard model of arithmetic, is computable.  
*True Arithmetic*,  $TA = Th(\mathcal{N})$ , is of Turing degree  $\mathbf{0}^{(\omega)}$ .  
 $\emptyset'$  is the halting set and  $\mathbf{0}'$  is its Turing degree.

- (Tennenbaum, 1959) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonstandard model of *Peano Arithmetic* ( $PA$ ), then  $\mathcal{A}$  is not computable.
- (Knight, 2001) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonstandard model of  $PA$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}$  such that  $D_0(\mathcal{B}) <_T D_0(\mathcal{A})$ .
- $\leq_T$  Turing reducibility  
A set  $D$  and its Turing degree  $\mathbf{d}$  are called *low* if  $\mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{0}'$ .
- (Harrington, Knight, 1995) There is a nonstandard model  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $PA$  such that  $D_0(\mathcal{M})$  is *low* and  $Th(\mathcal{M}) \equiv_T \emptyset^{(\omega)}$ .

- Let  $D^e(\mathcal{A})$  be the elementary diagram of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- A structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is *automorphically trivial* if there is a sequence  $\vec{c} \in A^{<\omega}$  such that every permutation of  $A$  that fixes  $\vec{c}$  pointwise is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (Harizanov, Knight and Morozov, 2001)

For every automorphically trivial structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  
 $D^e(\mathcal{A}) \equiv_T D_0(\mathcal{A})$ .

For every automorphically nontrivial structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , and every set  $X \geq_T D^e(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$D^e(\mathcal{B}) \equiv_T D_0(\mathcal{B}) \equiv_T X.$$

## Degree Spectrum of a Model

- The *Turing degree spectrum* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$DgSp(\mathcal{A}) = \{\deg(\mathcal{B}) : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}\}.$$

- (Marker, 1982) For a nonstandard model  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $PA$ ,  $DgSp(\mathcal{A})$  is closed *upward*.
- (Knight, 1986) (i) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is automorphically nontrivial, then  $DgSp(\mathcal{A})$  is closed *upward*.  
(ii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is automorphically trivial, then

$$(\forall \mathcal{B} \simeq \mathcal{A})[D_0(\mathcal{B}) \equiv_T D_0(\mathcal{A})].$$

- (Hirschfeldt, Khoushainov, Shore and Slinko, 2002)

For every automorphically nontrivial structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is a structure  $\mathcal{B}$ , which can be:

a symmetric irreflexive graph,

a partial ordering, a lattice,

a ring, an integral domain of arbitrary characteristic,

a commutative semigroup,

a 2-step nilpotent group,

such that

$$DgSp(\mathcal{A}) = DgSp(\mathcal{B}).$$

$\mathcal{D}$  = the set of all Turing degrees

- For every  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  there is a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  in the following classes of structures such that

$$DgSp(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D} : \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{d}\}$$

(Richter, 1981) torsion abelian groups

(Jockusch and Knight, 1997) torsion-free abelian groups of rank 1

(Calvert, Harizanov and Shlapentokh, 2006) fields, torsion-free abelian groups of any finite rank

(Dabkowska, Dabkowski, Harizanov and Sikora, 2007) centerless (hence highly nonabelian) groups

- Previous upper cone result not true for  $\mathbf{d} > \mathbf{0}$  for:
  - (Richter, 1981) linear orderings, trees
  - (A. Khisamiev, 2004) abelian  $p$ -groups
  - (Csimá, 2004) prime models of a complete decidable theory

- (Slaman, Wehner, 1998) There is a structure  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$DgSp(\mathcal{M}) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D} : \mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}\}.$$

(Hirschfeldt, 2006) Such a structure can be a prime model of a complete decidable theory.

- There are related results about degree spectra of partial structures by Soskov, A. Soskova and Ditchév.

## Degree Spectrum of a Relation on a Structure

- Let  $R$  be a *new* relation on computable  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The set of Turing degrees of images of  $R$  in *computable* isomorphic copies of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called the *degree spectrum of  $R$  on  $\mathcal{A}$* :

$$DgSp(R) = \{\deg f(R) \mid f : \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B} \ \& \ \mathcal{B} \text{ is computable}\}$$

- *Examples*

For a linear ordering  $\mathcal{L}_0$  with only finitely many successor pairs, we have  $DgSp(Succ_{\mathcal{L}_0}) = \{0\}$ .

(Downey and Moses, 1991) There is a linear ordering  $\mathcal{L}_1$  with  $DgSp(Succ_{\mathcal{L}_1}) = \{0'\}$ .

- $DgSp(Succ_{(\omega, <)}) = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D} : \mathbf{d} \text{ is computably enumerable (c.e.)}\}$

$$Succ_{\mathcal{L}}(a, b) \Leftrightarrow a < b \wedge \neg \exists c (a < c < b)$$

- (Chubb, Frolov and Harizanov, 2009) If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a computable linear ordering such that

$$\mathcal{L} \models (\forall x)(\exists a, b)[x < a \wedge Succ(a, b)],$$

then  $DgSp(Succ_{\mathcal{L}})$  is closed upward in c.e. degrees.

- The relation  $R$  is *intrinsically P* on  $\mathcal{A}$  if in all *computable* isomorphic copies of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the image of  $R$  is  $P$ .

## **$\{0\}$ vs. Infinite Degree Spectra**

- (Hirschfeldt, 2002) A *computable* relation  $R$  on a *computable linear ordering* is either definable by a *quantifier-free* formula with parameters (hence intrinsically computable), or  $DgSp(R)$  is infinite.
- (Downey, Goncharov and Hirschfeldt, 2003) A *computable* relation on a *computable Boolean algebra* is either definable by a *quantifier-free* formula with parameters, or  $DgSp(R)$  is infinite.
- (Khoussainov-Shore, Goncharov, Hirschfeldt, Harizanov)  
There are various 2-element degree spectra of computable relations.

- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable linear ordering of type  $\omega + \omega^*$ , say:

$$0 \prec 2 \prec 4 \prec \dots \prec 5 \prec 3 \prec 1,$$

and let  $R$  be the initial segment of type  $\omega$ .  $R$  is *intrinsically*  $\Delta_2^0$  because of the corresponding definability of  $R$  and  $\neg R$ :

$$x \in R \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_n \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_n [x_0 \prec x_1 \prec \dots \prec x_n \wedge x = x_n \wedge \forall y [\neg(y \prec x_0) \wedge \neg(x_0 \prec y \prec x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg(x_{n-1} \prec y \prec x_n)]]$$

and

$$x \notin R \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_n \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_n [x_0 \succ x_1 \succ \dots \succ x_n \wedge x = x_n \wedge \forall y [\neg(y \succ x_0) \wedge \neg(x_0 \succ y \succ x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg(x_{n-1} \succ y \succ x_n)]]$$

## Computable (Infinitary) Formulas

- A computable  $\Sigma_0$  ( $\Pi_0$ ) formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula. A computable  $\Sigma_\alpha$  formula,  $\alpha > 0$ , is a *c.e. disjunction* of formulas

$$\exists \bar{u} \psi(\bar{x}, \bar{u}),$$

where  $\psi$  is computable  $\Pi_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ .

A computable  $\Pi_\alpha$  formula,  $\alpha > 0$ , is a *c.e. conjunction* of formulas

$$\forall \bar{u} \psi(\bar{x}, \bar{u}),$$

where  $\psi$  is computable  $\Sigma_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ .

- (Ash, 1986) A relation defined in a countable structure  $\mathcal{A}$  by a computable  $\Sigma_\alpha$  ( $\Pi_\alpha$ ) formula is  $\Sigma_\alpha^0$  ( $\Pi_\alpha^0$ ) relative to the atomic diagram of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Computability vs. Definability of Relations

- The relation  $R$  is *formally c.e.* ( $\Sigma_\alpha^0$ ) on  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $R$  is definable by a computable  $\Sigma_1$  ( $\Sigma_\alpha$ ) formula with finitely many parameters.

(Ash and Nerode, 1991) Under some effectiveness condition (enough to have the existential diagram of  $(\mathcal{A}, R)$  computable),  $R$  is *intrinsically c.e.* on  $\mathcal{A}$  iff  $R$  is *formally c.e.* on  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
(Barker, 1988, generalized this result to  $\Sigma_\alpha^0$ .)

- $R$  is *relatively intrinsically P* on  $\mathcal{A}$  if in *all* isomorphic copies  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the image of  $R$  is  $P$  relative to the atomic diagram of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(Ash-Knight-Manasse-Slaman, Chisholm, 1989)

The relation  $R$  is *relatively intrinsically*  $\Sigma_\alpha^0$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  iff  $R$  is *formally*  $\Sigma_\alpha^0$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . (No additional effectiveness needed.)

- (Goncharov, 1977, Manasse, 1982)  
There is a computable structure with an intrinsically c.e., but *not relatively* intrinsically c.e. relation.
- (Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon, 2005)  
For every computable *successor* ordinal  $\alpha$ , there is a computable structure with a relation that is intrinsically  $\Sigma_{\alpha}^0$ , but *not relatively* intrinsically  $\Sigma_{\alpha}^0$ .
- (Chisholm, Fokina, Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight and Quinn, 2009)  
For every computable *limit* ordinal  $\alpha$ , there is a computable structure with a relation that is intrinsically  $\Sigma_{\alpha}^0$ , but *not relatively* intrinsically  $\Sigma_{\alpha}^0$ .

## Realizing All Computably Enumerable Degrees

(Harizanov, 1991)

- Under some effectiveness condition (enough to have the existential diagram of  $(\mathcal{A}, R)$  computable), if  $R$  is *not intrinsically computable*, then  $DgSp(R)$  includes *all c.e. Turing degrees*.

At least one of  $R$ ,  $\neg R$  is not definable in  $\mathcal{A}$  by a computable  $\Sigma_1$  formula with parameters.

- Under some effectiveness condition, if  $R$  is *intrinsically c.e.* and *not intrinsically computable*, then  $DgSp(R)$  includes *all c.e. Turing degrees*.

$\neg R$  is not definable in  $(\mathcal{A}, R)$  by a computable  $\Sigma_1$  formula in which the symbol  $R$  occurs only positively.

(Ash and Knight, 1997)

- Degrees coarser than Turing degrees:

$$X \leq_{\Delta_{\alpha}^0} Y \Leftrightarrow X \leq_T Y \oplus \Delta_{\alpha}^0$$

$$X \equiv_{\Delta_{\alpha}^0} Y \Leftrightarrow (X \leq_{\Delta_{\alpha}^0} Y \wedge Y \leq_{\Delta_{\alpha}^0} X)$$

$$\equiv_{\Delta_1^0} \text{ is } \equiv_T$$

- Under some effectiveness conditions, if  $R$  is *not intrinsically*  $\Delta_{\alpha}^0$  on computable  $\mathcal{A}$ , then for every  $\Sigma_{\alpha}^0$  set  $C$ , there is an isomorphism  $f$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto a computable structure such that  $f(R) \equiv_{\Delta_{\alpha}^0} C$ .

Not possible to replace these by Turing degrees.

## Intrinsically $\Delta_1^1$ Relations

(Soskov, 1996)

- Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is computable,  $R$  is  $\Delta_1^1$  and invariant under automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $R$  is definable in  $\mathcal{A}$  by a computable formula without parameters.
- For  $R$  on a computable  $\mathcal{A}$  the following are equivalent:
  - (i)  $R$  is *intrinsically*  $\Delta_1^1$ ,
  - (ii)  $R$  is *relatively intrinsically*  $\Delta_1^1$ ,
  - (iii)  $R$  is definable in  $\mathcal{A}$  by a computable formula with finitely many parameters.

$R$  is intrinsically  $\Delta_1^1$  on  $\mathcal{A}$

$\Rightarrow R$  has countably many automorphic images

$\Rightarrow (\exists \vec{c}) [R \text{ invariant under automorphisms of } (\mathcal{A}, \vec{c})]$

$\Rightarrow R$  definable by a computable formula  $\psi(x, \vec{c})$ .

## Intrinsically $\Pi_1^1$ Relations

- A relation  $R$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is *formally*  $\Pi_1^1$  if it is definable in  $\mathcal{A}$  by a  $\Pi_1^1$  disjunction of computable formulas with finitely many parameters.

(Soskov, 1996) For a computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$  and a relation  $R$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $R$  is *intrinsically*  $\Pi_1^1$ ,
  - (ii)  $R$  is *relatively intrinsically*  $\Pi_1^1$ ,
  - (iii)  $R$  is *formally*  $\Pi_1^1$ .
- A *Harrison ordering*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *computable* ordering of type  $\omega_1^{CK}(1 + \eta)$ .

$R^{\mathcal{A}}$ , the initial segment of type  $\omega_1^{CK}$ , is *intrinsically*  $\Pi_1^1$  since it is defined by the disjunction of computable formulas saying that the interval to the left of  $x$  has order type  $\alpha$ , for computable ordinals  $\alpha$ .

- A *Harrison Boolean algebra* is a *computable* Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of the form  $I(\omega_1^{CK}(1 + \eta))$ .

$R^{\mathcal{B}}$ , the set of *superatomic* elements, is intrinsically  $\Pi_1^1$  since it is defined by the disjunction of computable formulas saying that  $x$  is a finite join of  $\alpha$ -atoms, for computable  $\alpha$ .

- A *Harrison group* is a *computable* abelian  $p$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$  with length  $\omega_1^{CK}$ , and Ulm invariants  $u_{\mathcal{G}}(\alpha) = \infty$  for all computable  $\alpha$ , and with infinite dimensional divisible part.

$R^{\mathcal{G}}$ , the set of elements that have computable ordinal height (the complement of the divisible part), is intrinsically  $\Pi_1^1$  since it is defined by the disjunction of computable formulas saying that  $x$  has height  $\alpha$ , for computable  $\alpha$ .

- (Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight and Shore, 2004)

The following sets are equal:

(i) the set of Turing degrees of maximal well-ordered initial segments of Harrison orderings;

(ii) the set of Turing degrees of left-most paths of computable subtrees of  $\omega^{<\omega}$  in which there is a path but not a hyperarithmetical one;

(iii) the set of Turing degrees of  $\Pi_1^1$  paths through Kleene's  $\mathcal{O}$ ;

(iv) the set of Turing degrees of superatomic parts of Harrison Boolean algebras;

(v) the set of Turing degrees of the height-possessing parts of Harrison groups.

## Unbounded Degree Spectra of Relations

- (Kueker, 1968) The following are equivalent for countable  $\mathcal{A}$ :
  - (i)  $R$  has fewer than  $2^{\aleph_0}$  different images under automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
  - (ii)  $R$  is definable in  $\mathcal{A}$  by an  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$  formula with finitely many parameters.
- (Harizanov, 1991) There is an uncountable degree spectrum of a computable relation on a computable structure, which consists of  $\mathbf{0}$  and pairwise incomparable nonzero Turing degrees.
- (Ash-Cholak-Knight, Harizanov, 1997) For a computable relation  $R$  on computable  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $DgSp(R)$  contains every  $\Delta_3^0$  Turing degree, obtained via an isomorphism  $f$  of the same Turing degree as  $f(R)$ , then  $DgSp(R) = \mathcal{D}$ .

## Spectrally Universal Models

- (Harizanov and R. Miller, 2007)

For any countable linear ordering  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is a unary relation  $R$  on  $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathbb{Q}, <)$  such that  $DgSp(\mathcal{A}) = DgSp(R)$ .

$\mathcal{U}$  is said to be *spectrally universal* for a theory  $T$  if for every automorphically nontrivial countable model  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $T$ , there is an embedding  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  as a structure, has the same degree spectrum as  $f(\mathcal{A})$  as a relation on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Countable dense linear ordering and the random graph are spectrally universal.

- (Csimá, Harizanov, R. Miller and Montalbán, 2009)

The countable atomless Boolean algebra is spectrally universal.

## Automorphism Degree Spectrum

(Harizanov, R. Miller and Morozov, 2009)

- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any computable structure. The *automorphism spectrum* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of Turing degrees

$$\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{A}) = \{\text{deg } f : f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \ \& \ (\exists x \in \mathcal{A})(f(x) \neq x)\}$$

- There exist permutations  $f_0, f_1$  of  $\omega$  such that  $f_0, f_1 \leq_T \emptyset'$  and the Turing degrees of  $f_0f_1$  and  $f_1f_0$  are incomparable.
- $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{A})$  is at most countable iff it contains only hyperarithmetical degrees.

## Singleton Automorphism Spectra

- If  $\{d\}$  is an automorphism spectrum, then  $d$  is  $\Delta_1^1$ .

(Jockusch and McLaughlin, 1969) There exists an arithmetical Turing degree  $d$  such that no computable structure has automorphism spectrum  $\{d\}$ .

- There exists a computable structure  $\mathcal{C}_0$  such that for every c.e. degree  $d$ , some computable copy of  $\mathcal{C}_0$  has automorphism spectrum  $\{d\}$ .
- There exists a computable structure  $\mathcal{C}_1$  such that for every  $\Sigma_2^0$  degree  $d \geq_T 0'$ , some computable copy of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  has automorphism spectrum  $\{d\}$ .

- For every  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0$  degree  $\mathbf{d} \geq_T \mathbf{0}^{(n)}$ , some computable structure has automorphism spectrum  $\{\mathbf{d}\}$  and its isomorphism type depends only on  $n$ .
- For every  $n \in \omega$ , there exists a computable structure  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and a Turing degree  $\mathbf{d}$  with  $\mathbf{0}^{(n)} \leq_T \mathbf{d} \leq_T \mathbf{0}^{(n+2)}$  such that  $\mathbf{d}$  is incomparable with  $\mathbf{0}^{(n+1)}$  and  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{A}_n) = \{\mathbf{d}\}$ .
- (in Odifreddi, 1999) For any Turing degrees  $\mathbf{d}$  such that  $\mathbf{0}^{(\alpha)} \leq_T \mathbf{d} \leq_T \mathbf{0}^{(\alpha+1)}$  for some computable ordinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a computable  $\mathcal{A}$  with automorphism spectrum  $\{\mathbf{d}\}$ .

## Automorphism Spectra of Incomparable Degrees

- Let  $d_0$  and  $d_1$  be incomparable Turing degrees.  
Then *no* computable structure  $\mathcal{M}$  has  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{M}) = \{d_0, d_1\}$ ,  
and *no* computable structure  $\mathcal{M}$  has  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{M}) = \{0, d_0, d_1\}$ .
- There exist pairwise incomparable  $\Delta_2^0$  Turing degrees  $d_0, d_1, d_2$ , and computable structures  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{A}) = \{d_0, d_1, d_2\}$  and  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{B}) = \{0, d_0, d_1, d_2\}$ .

There exist c.e. sets  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $X \subset Y$  and the degrees  $\deg X, \deg(Y - X), \deg Y$  are pairwise incomparable.

- If  $\{d_0, \dots, d_n\}$  is a set of Turing degrees such that each singleton  $\{d_i\}$  is an automorphism spectrum, then there exists a computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$  the automorphism spectrum of which is the closure of  $\{d_0, \dots, d_n\}$  under joins.
- A total function  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  is a  $\Pi_1^0$ -function singleton if there exists a computable tree  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  through which  $f$  is the *unique* infinite path.
- For a Turing degree  $d$ , the following are equivalent.
  - (i)  $\{d\}$  is the automorphism spectrum of some computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
  - (ii)  $d$  contains a  $\Pi_1^0$ -function singleton.

- For a computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following are equivalent:
  - (i)  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{A})$  is at most countable;
  - (ii) Every degree in  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{A})$  contains a  $\Pi_1^0$ -function singleton.
- There exists a computable structure  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{M})$  consists of all c.e. degrees.

There exists a computable structure  $\mathcal{M}_n$  such that

$$\text{AutSp}^*(\mathcal{M}_n) = \{ \mathbf{d} \in \Sigma_{n+1}^0 : \mathbf{d} \geq_T \mathbf{0}^{(n)} \}.$$

- There exists a computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$  the spectrum of which is the *union of the upper cones* above each of an infinite antichain of c.e. degrees.

The same holds for any finite antichain of degrees of  $\Pi_1^0$ -function singletons.

## Degree Spectra of Orders on Computable Structures

$\mathcal{M} = (M, \cdot)$  magma (a set with a binary operation)

- $\mathcal{M}$  is (partially) *left-orderable* if there is a linear (partial) ordering  $<$  on  $M$  that is left invariant:  
 $(\forall x, y, z)[x < y \Rightarrow z \cdot x < z \cdot y]$

$\mathcal{M}$  is *bi-orderable* (*orderable*) if

$$(\forall x, y, z)[x < y \Rightarrow (z \cdot x < z \cdot y) \wedge (x \cdot z < y \cdot z)]$$

- $LO(\mathcal{M})$  ( $BiO(\mathcal{M})$ ) is the set of all left orders (bi-orders) on  $\mathcal{M}$   
*Turing degree spectrum* of left-orders on computable left-orderable  $\mathcal{M}$  :

$$DgSp_{\mathcal{M}}(LO) = \{\deg(R) \mid R \in LO(\mathcal{M})\}$$

## Orders on Groups

- Given a left order  $<_l$  on a group  $\mathcal{G}$ , we have a right order  $<_r$ :  
 $x <_r y \Leftrightarrow y^{-1} <_l x^{-1}$

$\mathcal{G}$  is left-orderable group  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is *torsion-free*

$$e < x \Rightarrow x < x^2 < \dots < x^n$$

Every torsion-free nilpotent group is orderable.

There is a torsion-free, but not left-orderable group.

- Let  $<$  be a partial left order on a group  $\mathcal{G}$   
*Positive partial cone:*  $P = \{a \in G \mid a \geq e\}$   
*Negative partial cone:*  $P^{-1} = \{a \in G \mid a \leq e\}$

1.  $PP \subseteq P$  ( $P$  sub-semigroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ )
2.  $P \cap P^{-1} = \{e\}$  ( $P$  pure)

- $P$  with 1 & 2 defines a partial left order  $\leq_P$  on  $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$x \leq_P y \Leftrightarrow x^{-1}y \in P$$

- $P$  with 1 & 2 defines a left order if

3.  $P \cup P^{-1} = G$  ( $P$  total)

- $P$  with 1, 2 & 3 defines a bi-order if:

4.  $(\forall g \in G)[g^{-1}Pg \subseteq P]$  ( $P$  normal)

- For groups, orders often identified with their positive cones.

*Example:*  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  bi-orderable with a positive cone

$$P = \{(a, b) \mid 0 < a \vee (a = 0 \wedge 0 \leq b)\}$$

- Fundamental group of Klein bottle

$\mathcal{G} = \langle x, y \mid xyx^{-1}y = e \rangle$  left-orderable, but not bi-orderable.

Positive cone  $P = \{x^n y^m \mid n > 0 \vee (n = 0 \wedge m \geq 0)\}$

defines a left order on  $\mathcal{G}$ .

If  $<$  bi-order on  $\mathcal{G}$ , then  $y > e$  or  $y < e$

$y > e \Rightarrow y^{-1} = xyx^{-1} > e$ , contradiction.

- *Turing degree spectrum* of bi-orders on computable orderable  $\mathcal{G}$  :

$$DgSp_{\mathcal{G}}(BiO) = \{\text{deg}(P) \mid P \subseteq G \text{ is a positive order-cone on } \mathcal{G}\}$$

$$\text{deg}(P) = \text{deg}(\leq_P)$$

- (Solomon, 2002)

$$DgSp_{\mathcal{G}}(BiO) = \mathcal{D}$$

for a computable torsion free abelian group  $\mathcal{G}$  of finite rank  $n > 1$ .

- (Solomon, 2002)

$$DgSp_{\mathcal{G}}(BiO) \supseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D} \mid \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}'\}$$

for a computable torsion free abelian group  $\mathcal{G}$  of infinite rank.

- There are computable groups with countably many bi-orders.

## Topology on $LO(\mathcal{M})$

- Topology defined on  $LO(\mathcal{M})$  by subbasis  $\{S_{(a,b)}\}_{(a,b) \in (M \times M) - \Delta}$  where  $\Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in M\}$ :

$$S_{(a,b)} = \{R \in LO(\mathcal{M}) \mid (a, b) \in R\}.$$

- (Dabkowska, Dabkowski, Harizanov, Przytycki and Veve, 2007)  
For a magma  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $LO(\mathcal{M})$  is a compact space.
- (Sikora, 2004) For  $n > 1$ ,  $LO(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.  
(Dabkowska, 2006)  $LO(\mathbb{Z}^\omega)$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

- (Linnell, 2006) The space of left orders of a countable left-orderable group is either finite or contains a homeomorphic copy of the Cantor set.
- (Solomon, 1998) For every orderable computable group  $\mathcal{G}$ , there is a computable binary tree  $\mathcal{T}$  and a Turing degree preserving bijection from  $BiO(\mathcal{G})$  to the set of all infinite paths of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Hence, by the Low Basis Theorem of Jockusch and Soare,  $\mathcal{T}$  has a *low* infinite path, so  $BiO(\mathcal{G})$  contains an order of *low* Turing degree.

- (Downey and Kurtz, 1986) There is a computable torsion-free abelian group with no computable order.
- (Dobrica, 1983) Every computable torsion-free abelian group is isomorphic to a computable group with a computable basis.

- A group  $\mathcal{G}$  for which every partial (left) order can be extended to a total (left) order is called *fully orderable* (*fully left-orderable*).

Torsion-free abelian groups are fully orderable.

- (Dabkowska, Dabkowski, Harizanov and Togha, 2009)

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a computable, *fully left-orderable* group and  $\mathbf{d}$  a Turing degree such that:

(a) no left order on  $\mathcal{G}$  is determined uniquely by any finite subset;

(b) for a finite set  $A \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ , the problem “ $e \in sgr(A)$ ” is  $\mathbf{d}$ -decidable;

(c)  $DgSp_{\mathcal{G}}(LO)$  is closed upward.

Then

$$DgSp_{\mathcal{G}}(LO) \supseteq \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D} \mid \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{d}\}$$

and  $LO(\mathcal{G})$  is homeomorphic to the *Cantor set*.

## Orders on Free Groups $F_n$

$F_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid \rangle$  free group of rank  $n$

- Conjecture (Sikora, 2004) For  $n > 1$ , the space  $BiO(F_n)$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.
- (Navas-Flores, 2008) The space  $LO(F_n)$  for  $n > 1$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.
- (Dabkowska, Dabkowski, Harizanov and Togha, 2009)  
For a free group  $F_n$  of rank  $n > 1$ , we have  $DgSp_{F_n}(BiO) = \mathcal{D}$ .

Free groups are not fully left-orderable.